

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT TACOMA

10 LINNIELL PHIPPS,
11 Plaintiff,
12 v.
13 ELDON VAIL, et al.,
14 Defendants.

CASE NO. C11-5093BHS/JRC
ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF
TIME

15 This is 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights action has been referred to the undersigned
16 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 636(b)(1)(A) and (B) and Local Magistrate Judge
17 Rules MJR 1, MJR 3, and MJR 4. Before the court is a motion for an extension of time to
18 respond to a dispositive motion (ECF No. 25). Plaintiff has construed the affirmative defense in
19 the answer as a motion. No dispositive motion is pending. Therefore, plaintiff need not be
20 granted any extension to file. The motion is DENIED.

21
22 DATED this 2nd day of May, 2011.
23

24
25 
26 J. Richard Creatura
 United States Magistrate Judge